

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JAMES WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff

v.

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE
DEPARTMENT, et al.,

Defendants

Case No.: 2:16-cv-03020-APG-NJK

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation and Denying Motion for
Leave to Amend**

[ECF Nos. 34, 39]

Plaintiff James Williams moved for leave to amend his complaint. ECF No. 34. On September 23, 2019, Magistrate Judge Koppe recommended I deny Williams' motion. ECF No. 39. Williams did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Koppe's report and recommendation (**ECF No. 39**) is **accepted** and plaintiff James Williams' motion for leave to amend (**ECF No. 34**) is **DENIED**.

DATED this 15th day of October, 2019.


ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE